

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/09/97	ISBARA		М	INPA: 035
_		7	EXAMINER	
•	-	•	WELLS,K	
		[ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
210		•	2816	
	09/09/97 N	09/09/97 ISBARA MM61/0305 N AND DURKEE	09/09/97 ISBARA MM61/0305 ¬ N AND DURKEE	MM61/0305 MW61/0305 WELLS,K

DATE MAILED: 03/05/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No. 08/925,868

Applicant(s)

ISBARA

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Kenneth B. Wells

Group Art Unit 2816



Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2-2-99	
☐ This action is FINAL.	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 193	35 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extens 37 CFR 1.136(a).	e to respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	
☐ Claim(s)	
Claims	
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Island on Section 15/200 island on Section 1	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objection filed on	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	ізаррі очецшзаррі очец.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority	v under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies	
received.	
☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial No.	umber)
received in this national stage application from th	
*Certified copies not received:	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic prior	
Attachment(s)	
Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	No.
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper	INO(S)
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-5	348
□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON	THE FOLLOWING PAGES

Application/Control Number: 08/925,868 Page 2

Art Unit: 2816

DETAILED ACTION

1. The preliminary amendment filed on 2/2/99 has been entered in the CPA application.

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. Claims 1-14 and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The use of the word "temporarily" in the claims is indefinite because this is a relative term and the specification does not provide any definition of this so as to give clear meaning to how long the voltage applied to the enable terminal is increased, i.e., it cannot be determined how long (or short) this time period is that applicant is trying to define.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over any one of Fox, Nelson and GB 1,2287,021.

e.t.,

Application/Control Number: 08/925,868

Art Unit: 2816

As to claim 1, note that each of these references discloses an RC attenuator, which is essentially all that applicant is reciting in this claim. The differences are that applicant uses a continuously-on biased FET instead of the discrete resistor shown by each of the references. However, the replacement of a discrete resistor with a continuously-on biased FET is notoriously well-known in the art (official notice is taken) and there is obvious motivation to make such a replacement, i.e., to save chip real estate, since discrete resistors take up more space than integrated FETs acting as resistance elements. resistor recited in the claim also fails to distinguish patentably over these references because it is also old and wellknown in the art to add such a series resistor between the gate bias voltage and the gate of the FET for the purpose of controlling the on level of the FET (and thereby controlling the resistance value of the FET), all of which are old and well-known concepts to those having ordinary skill in the art.

As to the remaining claims which recite the inverter 26 and pull-up FET 28, the limitations recited therein are also considered to be well-within the ordinary skill level and are thus obvious as well, for the reasons noted in the previous office actions.

Application/Control Number: 08/925,868 Page 4

Art Unit: 2816

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth B. Wells whose telephone number is (703) 308-4809. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30am to 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy P. Callahan, can be reached on (703) 308-4876. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Kenneth B. Wells Primary Examiner Art Unit 2816

February 26, 1999